### LONDON BOROUGH OF HARROW

Meeting: Council

**Date:** 23 October 2003

**Subject:** Operation and Provisions for Call in and Urgency

**Key decision:** No

Responsible Chief Officer:

Chief Executive

Relevant

Strategy and External Affairs

**Portfolio Holder:** 

Status: Part 1

Ward: N/A

**Enclosures:** None

# 1. Summary/ Reason for urgency (if applicable)

- 1.1 Paragraph 23.7 of the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules states that the operation of the provisions for call-in and urgency shall be monitored annually and a report submitted by the Head of Paid Service to Council with proposals for review if necessary.
- 2. Recommendations (for decision by Council)
- 2.1 That the operation of the call-in and urgency procedures be noted.

REASON: In accordance with paragraph 23.7 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules, Members are required to consider the operation of the provisions for call-in and urgency on an annual basis.

- 3. Consultation with Ward Councillors
- 3.1 N/A
- 4. Policy Context (including Relevant Previous Decisions)
- 4.1 Council 28 February 2002 approval of Council Constitution
- **4.2** Council reports on use of urgency 26 September 2002, 23 January 2003, 27 February 2003, 15 May 2003.

### 5. Relevance to Corporate Priorities

5.1 N/A

# 6. Background Information and options considered

- 6.1 Paragraph 23.7 of the Council's Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules states that the operation of the provisions for call-in and urgency shall be monitored annually and a report submitted by the Head of Paid Service to Council with proposals for review if necessary.
- 6.2 Call-in is the process whereby a decision of the Executive, Portfolio Holder or officer (when taking a key decision) taken but not implemented may be examined by the Overview and Scrutiny Committee prior to implementation. They may recommend that the Executive re-consider the decision.
- 6.3 Any six Members of the Council and/or the voting co-opted members on the Lifelong Learning Scrutiny sub-committee may invoke call-in. Decisions of the Executive shall not be implemented for 5 clear working days following the publication of the decision and a decision can only be called in during this period. This does not, however, apply to urgent decisions. A decision will be urgent if any delay likely to be caused by the call-in process would seriously prejudice the Council's or the public interest. The Chair of the Overview and Scrutiny Committee must agree that the decision proposed is reasonable in the circumstances and should be treated as a matter of urgency.

# **Urgency**

- 6.4 The Council Constitution has been in operation since May 2002. During the municipal year 2002-3, there were 43 urgent individual Portfolio Holder decisions and 82 non-urgent Portfolio Holder decisions. Since the start of the current municipal year, there have been 10 urgent and 27 non-urgent Portfolio Holder decisions (including 1 urgent key decision taken by the Leader) taken to-date. The relevant Head of Service is required to confirm urgency in each case.
- 6.5 Since the implementation of the Constitution the urgency process has been used on one occasion in relation to decisions of Cabinet. This related to a report considered by Cabinet on 9 September 2003, the details of which are set out below:-

### Subject

### Reason for Urgency

Further Funding Bid and The deadline for the submission of Implementation Plans for the the bid to the London Recycling collection of waste from 12,000 Fund was 15 September 2003. households

The urgency process has not been used in relation to officer decisions.

6.6 In accordance with paragraph 23.6 of the Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules decisions taken as a matter of urgency have been reported the next available Council meeting following taking of the decision. The reports to Council have set out the reason for urgency in each case.

## Use of Call in procedure

- 6.7 Since May 2002, seven decisions, of which one was a key decision, have been called in and referred to the Call-in Sub-Committee for consideration. Of these seven decisions, one was made by a Portfolio Holder and the other six by Cabinet. The Call-in Sub-Committee has met three times, the last meeting of the Sub-Committee considering five called-in decisions. Of the seven decisions called in, one was referred back to the Executive for reconsideration. The Sub-Committee rejected the grounds for four of the call-ins and agreed that the decisions could be implemented straight away. Two of the call-ins (one of which was the key decision) were withdrawn at the Sub-Committee meeting. In addition, two decisions (one Cabinet and one Portfolio Holder) were sought to be called in but were determined to be invalid by the Borough Solicitor.
- 6.8 There are currently no proposals to amend the call in procedure and no options are put forward for change.
- 7. **Consultation**
- 7.1 None
- 8. Finance Observations
- 8.1 None
- 9. **Legal Observations**
- 9.1 None.
- 10. **Conclusion**
- 10.1 There are currently no proposals to review the provisions for call-in and urgency and Members are requested to note this report.
- 11. **Background Papers**
- 11.1 Council Constitution
- 11.2 Any person wishing to inspect the background papers should telephone 0208 424 1266
- 12. Author
- 12.1 Alison Brooker Law and Administration tel:0208 424 1266, alison.broooker@harrow.gov.uk